

|   |                                      |                                       |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/500,851 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>SENSHU, SUSUMU |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>PETER POLTORAK    | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2434               |

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) PETER POLTORAK. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Andrew Harry. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 September 2010

**Time:** 10:28 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to amend the present application as set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)